**BRIAN M. BOYNTON** 1 Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney 2 General CHRISTOPHER P. TENORIO 3 Deputy Assistant Attorney General  $4 \| (SBN 166022) \|$ WILLIAM C. PEACHEY 5 Director 6 Office of Immigration Litigation U.S. Department of Justice 7 WILLIAM C. SILVIS 8 Assistant Director 9 Office of Immigration Litigation SARAH B. FABIAN 10 Senior Litigation Counsel FIZZA BATOOL 11 **Trial Attorney** 12 Office of Immigration Litigation 13 U.S. Department of Justice Box 868, Ben Franklin Station 14 Washington, DC 20442 15 Telephone: (202) 532-4824 Fax: (202) 616-8962 16 17 Attorneys for Federal Defendants 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

Lee Gelernt\* Daniel A. Galindo (SBN 292854) Anand Balakrishnan\* Judy Rabinovitz\* AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION 125 Broad St., 18th Floor New York, NY 10004 T: (212) 549-2660 F: (212) 549-2654 Igelernt@aclu.org jrabinovitz@aclu.org abalakrishnan@aclu.org

Stephen B. Kang (SBN 292280) Spencer E. Amdur (SBN 320069) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION 39 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 94111 T: (415) 343-1198 F: (415) 395-0950 skang@aclu.org samdur@aclu.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs \*Admitted Pro Hac Vice

| q  | ase 3:18-cv-00428-DMS-AHG Document 7  | '17 Filed 10/24/23 | PageID.10411              | Page 2 of 4 |
|----|---|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
|    |   |                    |                           |             |
|    |   |                    |                           |             |
| 1  |   |                    |                           |             |
| 2  |   |                    |                           |             |
| 3  |   |                    |                           |             |
| 4  |   |                    |                           |             |
| 5  |   |                    |                           |             |
| 6  |   |                    |                           |             |
| 7  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |                    |                           |             |
| 8  | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |                    |                           |             |
| 9  | Case No. 3:18cv428 DMS(AHG)   |                    |                           |             |
| 10 |   |                    | × ×                       | HG)         |
| 11 | Ms. L, et al.,  | ORDER GRA          | ANTING<br>RY APPROVA      | AL OF       |
| 12 | Plaintiff,  |                    | SETTLEMEN'<br>RILY CERTII |             |
| 13 | V.  | THE SETTLE         | EMENT CLAS                | SES;        |
| 14 | U.S. Immigration and Customs  | NOTICE             |                           |             |
| 15 | Enforcement, et al.,  |                    |                           |             |
| 16 | Defendant.  |                    |                           |             |
| 17 |   |                    |                           |             |
| 18 | Upon consideration of the Parties' Joint Motion for Preliminary Approval of   |                    |                           |             |
| 19 | Proposed Settlement, Certification of Settlement Class, and Approval of Class |                    |                           |             |
| 20 | Notice, and the Parties' Supplemental Statement regarding the Motion,         |                    |                           |             |
| 21 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED  | , ADJUDGED         | AND DECRE                 | EED AS      |

FOLLOWS:

1.

## The Motion is **GRANTED**.

2. The Court finds that: (a) the proposed Settlement is sufficiently fair, reasonable, and adequate to authorize the dissemination of notice of the Settlement to potential members of the Ms. L. Settlement Class and to schedule a Fairness Hearing to determine whether to grant final approval of the proposed Settlement

under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e); (b) the Agreement was negotiated at arm's length by experienced counsel acting in good faith; and (c) there has been adequate opportunity for experienced counsel to evaluate the claims and risks at this stage of the litigation.

3. The Court finds that preliminary approval is appropriate and hereby grants preliminary approval of the Settlement subject to final determination following notice and hearing.

4. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court finds that the requirements for a class action are met, and hereby defines the *Ms. L.* Settlement Class set forth in the parties' proposed Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that provisional certification is warranted in light of the proposed Settlement under the prerequisites of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) because: (1) the members of the proposed *Ms. L.* Settlement Class are so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (2) there are issues of law and fact common to the proposed *Ms. L.* Settlement Class; (3) the claims of the named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the *Ms. L.* Settlement Class members; and (4) the proposed Class Counsel will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the *Ms. L.* Settlement Class members.

5. The Court also finds that provisional certification of the *Ms. L.* Settlement Class is warranted in light of the proposed Settlement under the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) because Defendants are alleged to have acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the proposed *Ms. L.* Settlement Class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the *Ms. L.* Settlement Class as a whole.

6. The requirements of Rule 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are met, and the Court hereby confirms the appointment of the ACLU Immigrants' Rights Project as counsel for the Class.

7. The Court approves the form and content of the revised draft Notice, as well as the plan for distribution of the Notice, which complies fully with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and due process.

8. The parties shall cause the Notice to be provided to potential members of the *Ms. L.* Settlement Class in accordance with the Notice Plan and the Agreement. Consistent with the Notice Plan, the Notice shall be posted on the web sites of HHS, DHS, and the ACLU no later than **October 24, 2023**.

9. Any Settlement Class Member who objects to the proposed Settlement must do so in writing, postmarked no later than **November 21, 2023**, and shall otherwise comply with the requirements set forth in the Notice. No later than **November 22, 2023**, Plaintiffs will provide to the Court any objections submitted to Class Counsel, or shall notify the Court that no objections were received.

10. The parties shall file with the Court their responses to any objection(s) to the Settlement on or before **November 29, 2023**.

11. By **December 1, 2023**, the parties shall file with the Court their motion for final approval of the Settlement.

12. The Court will hold a fairness hearing on **December 8, 2023**, at **1:00 p.m.** in Courtroom 13A, 13th Floor, Suite 1310, 333 West Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101, to determine the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the proposed Settlement. Any Settlement Class Member who follows the procedure set forth in the Notice may appear and be heard. The fairness hearing may be rescheduled, adjourned, or continued without further notice to the Settlement Class Members.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 24, 2023

Hon. Dana M. Sabraw, Chief Judge United States District Court